## Cobalt Ferrite Nanoparticles Prepared by Coprecipitation/Mechanochemical Treatment

Huaming Yang, Xiangchao Zhang, Aidong Tang, and Guanzhou Qiu

Department of Inorganic Materials, School of Resources Processing and Bioengineering, Central South University,

Changsha 410083, P. R. China

(Received April 19, 2004; CL-040432)

Cobalt ferrite (CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) nanoparticles have been prepared by chemical coprecipitation, mechanical milling and subsequent thermal treatment. Sodium chloride was added as a diluent during mechanical milling to avoid agglomeration of the particles. Thermal treatment of the as-milled powder at  $600^{\circ}$ C produces well-crystallized  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles with an average crystal size of 22.5 nm. The mechanism of nanoparticle growth was discussed.

The preparation of cobalt ferrite  $(CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)$  particles has been the subject of extensive investigation because of their wide range of applications.<sup>1</sup> The properties of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  are highly related to the particle size. It has been indicated that nanocrystalline  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  is beneficial in promoting application properties.<sup>2</sup> Several techniques have been developed to prepare  $CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ nanoparticles, including sol-gel process,<sup>3</sup> hydrothermal synthesis,<sup>4</sup> chemical coprecipitation method,<sup>5</sup> citrate precursor,<sup>6</sup> mechanical alloying, $\frac{7}{7}$  combustion synthesis, $\frac{8}{7}$  water-in-oil microemulsions,<sup>9</sup> and forced hydrolysis method.<sup>10</sup> But with each of above methods, nanosized  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  powder is rather difficult to be obtained and often has a high degree of agglomeration.

Mechanochemical processing is a novel method for the production of nanosized materials.<sup>11</sup> The method has been widely applied to synthesize a variety of nanoparticles, including ZnS, CdS, LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, and CeO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>12</sup> Recently, a diluent, often the by-product of the reaction (NaCl), is added to the starting materials during the mechanochemical processing.<sup>13</sup> It can separate the nanoparticles, prevent their subsequent growth, the synthesized powder not being seriously agglomerated.<sup>14</sup> Removal of the salt matrix is usually carried out by simple washing. Mechanochemical technique is particularly suitable for mass production because it is a simple and inexpensive process.<sup>15</sup> In this paper,  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles were synthesized by the combination of chemical coprecipitation and mechanochemical treatment.

The starting materials were AR-grade  $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ , FeCl<sub>3</sub> $\cdot$  $6H<sub>2</sub>O$ , and NaOH with the molar ratio of 1:1:5. CoCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and FeCl3.6H2O were dissolved in hydrochloric acid to form acid solution, mixed with NaOH solution, and kept at  $60^{\circ}$ C for 1 h to form the precipitates by a temperature-controlled reactor with a magnetic stirrer. Then, the coprecipitates were thoroughly washed with distilled water and dried at  $75^{\circ}$ C for 2h. The precursor thus prepared, NaCl and stainless steel ball of 2.5 mm in diameter were sealed in a 300-mL stainless vial with a ball-topowder weight ratio of 10:1. The weight ratio of NaCl to precursor was 5:1. Mechanochemical milling was performed in a KM-10 type planetary mill for 4 h at 600 rpm. The as-milled powder was washed with distilled water to remove the diluent, and subsequently heat-treated at  $600^{\circ}$ C for 2h in air to produce the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles.

The structure of the sample was examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a D/max- $\gamma$ A diffractometer (Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.154056$  nm). The morphologies of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles were observed by a JEM-200CX transmission electron microscope (TEM). The average crystal size  $(D)$  of the nanoparticle was calculated from diffraction peak half-widths according to Scherrer's equation.

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of (a) the coprecipitated precursor, (b) powder milled for 4 h and subsequently washed, and (c) powder after calcination at  $600^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. After chemical coprecipitation of the starting materials, no peak was evident, and the coprecipitated product was amorphous. A new peak associated with  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  was observed in the XRD pattern of the sample milled for 4 h and washed, it is deduced that the crystallization of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  is initiated in the coprecipitated powder and the crystallization degree can be accelerated by the mechanochemical milling. Calcination of the milled powder at 600 °C for 2 h promoted the crystallization of particles and consequently resulted in the formation of the well-crystallized  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles. The calculated XRD crystal size of the nanoparticle was 22.5 nm. Our early experiments have indicated that the CoFe2O<sup>4</sup> particles without mechanochemical treatment were extremely agglomerated and incompletely crystallized.



Figure 1. XRD patterns of (a) the precursor, (b) powder milled for 4 h and subsequently washed, (c) powder after calcination at  $600 °C$  for 2 h.

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of the  $CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles heat-treated at 600–800 °C. The intensities of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ peaks increased with increasing the calcination temperature. Figure 3 shows the TEM image of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles treated at 600 °C with uniform crystal size of about 30 nm in diameter, which is in good agreement with the XRD calculation. Both separated and moderately agglomerated particles seem to be present. The  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  particles prepared without NaCl addition were also seriously agglomerated and monodispersive nanoparticles could not be obtained.

Figure 4 shows the size distribution of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles obtained from TEM image. It can be observed that most of



**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles prepared at different calcination temperatures.



Figure 3. TEM micrograph of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles  $(600 °C$  calcination).



**Figure 4.** Size distribution of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles from TEM image  $(600\degree C \text{ calculation})$ .

the particles are about 10–50 nm in diameter.

Straight line of ln D against  $1/T$  is plotted in Figure 5 according to the Scott equation given below on the assumption that the nanocrystallites grow homogeneously,<sup>16</sup> which approximately describes the nanocrystallite growth during annealing:

$$
D = C \exp(-E/RT) \tag{1}
$$

where  $D$  is the XRD crystal size,  $C$  is a constant,  $E$  is the activation energy for nanocrystallite growth,  $R$  is the gas constant, and  $T$  is the absolute temperature. The activation energy calculated from the slope of the line is small as  $E = 15.54 \text{ kJ/mol}$ . It can be considered that the crystal grows primarily by means of an interfacial reaction.

In summary, well-crystallized cobalt ferrite  $(CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)$ nanoparticles of about 30 nm in crystal size have been successfully synthesized by heating the as-milled powder after mechanochemically processing the coprecipitated precursor with NaCl



Figure 5. Plot of ln D against  $1/T$  for the equation  $D =$  $C \exp(-E/RT)$ .

as a diluent. Mechanical milling can greatly accelerate the crystallization of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  particles. The estimated value of the activation energy indicates that the nanocrystallite mainly grows by means of an interfacial reaction. Research on application of the  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles is in progress. This novel route is also applicable for the synthesis of other functional nanoparticles.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 50304014).

## References

- 1 K. Kakizaki, N. Hiratsuka, and M. Nozawa, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 176, 31 (1997); D. R. Cornejo, A. Medina-Boudri, and H. R. Bertorello, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 242/245, 194 (2002).
- 2 M. Rajendran, R. C. Pullar, A. K. Bhattacharya, and D. Das, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 232, 71 (2001).
- 3 J. G. dos S. Duque, M. A. Macêdo, N. O. Moreno, and J. L. Lopez, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 226/230, 1424 (2001).
- 4 X. Li, G. Chen, P.-L. Yue, and C. Kutal, J. Mater. Sci. Lett., 21, 1881 (2002).
- 5 D. Bueno-Baques, A. Medina-Boudri, and J. Matute-Aquino, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 226/230, 1412 (2000).
- 6 S. Prasad, A. Vijayalakshmi, and N. S. Guibhiye, J. Therm. Anal., 52, 595 (1998).
- 7 J. Ding, P. G. McCormick, and R. Street, Solid State Commun., 95, 31 (1995).
- 8 Z. Xu, F. Cheng, B. Zhou, and C. Liao, Chin. Sci. Bull., 46, 1 (2001).
- 9 D. O. Shah and V. Pillai, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 163, 243 (1996).
- 10 N. Hanh, O. K. Quy, N. P. Thuy, L. D. Tung, and L. Spinu, Physica B, 327, 382 (2003).
- 11 L. M. Cukrov, T. Tsuzuki, and P. G. McCormick, Scr. Mater., 44, 1787 (2001).
- 12 P. Baláž, E. Boldižárová, E. Godočíková, and J. Briančin, Mater. Lett., 57, 1585 (2003); F. Li, X. Yu, H. Pan, M. Wang, and X. Xin, Solid State Sci., 2, 767 (2000); S. Soiron, A. Rougier, L. Aymard, and J.-M. Tarascon, J. Power Sources, 97/98, 402 (2001).
- 13 Ü. Kersen, Appl. Phys. A, 75, 559 (2002).
- 14 T. Tsuzuki, K. Pethick, and P. G. McCormick, J. Nanopart. Res., 2, 375 (2000).
- 15 V. V. Boldyrev, Mater. Sci. Forum, 225/226, 511 (1996).
- 16 H. Yang, A. Tang, X. Zhang, and W. Yang, Scr. Mater., 50, 413 (2004).